

Sri D. ABDUL RASHEED.—Have the Government sanctioned grant-in-aid to the newly upgraded college at Kolar ?

†Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—Recently only it was started and therefore the question has not come up so far.

Sri D. ABDUL RASHEED.—Will the Government give some adhoc grant to this college ?

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—According to the rules whatever grant is admissible we will give.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—What are those rules ?

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—Grant-in-aid rules.

COLLECTION OF LAND ASSESSMENT FROM TWO VILLAGES OF KHANAPUR TALUK.

*Q.—611. Sri L. B. BIRJE (Khanapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the method adopted in collecting land assessment from the Karambal Ganebail, Girgunji villages in Khanapur Taluk that took part in no-tax campaign under Samyukta Maharashtra Samithi and when the land assessment was collected ?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

In Karambal and Ganebail villages the land revenue was recovered on 10th March 1960 by attachment of moveables. In Ganebail village, the Khatedars paid land revenue dues after 24 occupancies were forfeited. In Girgunji village the Khatedars paid the land revenue voluntarily on 11th March 1960.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ರಾಯ್ಡ್ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಕೋಡಿನ ನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾರವಾಗಿ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಜಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡದೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹೇಗೆ ಈ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡರು ಎಂತಲೂ ಅವರು ಕೇಳಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ರಾಯ್ಡ್ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾರವಾಗ್ಗೆ ನಾವು ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI:—(Spoke in Marathi)

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಯಾರು ಯಾರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಕಾನ್‌ಫಿನ್ಸೇಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಆ ಮಾರ್ಗ ವನ್ನೇಕೆ ಕೈಗೊಂಡರು ಎಂದು ಅವರು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ರಾಯ್ಡ್ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಕೋಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳಿವೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣ ಬಾಕಿ ಇತ್ತು. ಅದರ ವಸೂಲಿಗಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

†Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Is it not a fact that in Girlgunji the Police force consisting of 250 constables and 14 vans went there and forced the hands of the people at the point of bayonets and that the police officers and constables went into the houses of each of the 200 houses and the inmates of each house were severely and mercilessly beaten and assesment recovered forcibly from the khatedars.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Certainly not. No force was used. The answer is that they paid the assesment voluntarily.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—That is a lie, Sir.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—That is the report we have received.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—The facts are otherwise.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He cannot say that it is a 'lie'. What the Government says should be taken as correct. If we says that the Government is not properly informend, that is a different matter.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—May I know if the mothers of the boys, namely. Sateri Somanakalelkar, aged 14, Narayana Dattatreya Patil, aged 14, Ramachandra Balwant Patil, aged 18 and Nagappa, aged 16, the mothers of these boys were threatened that if they do not pay the assesment, their sons would be killed and in this manner the assesment was recovered.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—That is not correct.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—May I know that one lady Dhondu Bai Patil was threatened by the police constable, who held a gun at her chest and threatened her that if she would not pay the assesment, she would be killed.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—It is a concoction.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು:—ರಾಂಡ್ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಕೋಡಿನ 200 ನೇಯ ಕಲಮಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಖಾತೆದಾರರಿಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ? ಎಂದು ಅವರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—We have followed the usual procedure prescribed under the Land Revenue Code and the rules framed there under. I have no information with regard to each case.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು:—ಕಂದಾಯ ವಸೂಲಿಗಾಗಿ ಅನ್ನಿ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪೂರ್ವದಲ್ಲ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಖಾತೆದಾರರಿಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—If the Hon'ble Member puts a fresh question with regard to any particular case, I will be able to answer that.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು:—ಈ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಜಮೀಂದ ಕಂದಾಯ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅನ್ನಿ ಪಾಸ್ತಿ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಲು ರಾಂಡ್ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಕೋಡ್‌ನ ಯಾವ ಕಲಮಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ರಾ್ಯಡ್ ಲೆವಿಸ್ನೂ, ಎಫೆಂಧನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕೋ ಆ ಎಲ್ಲ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಖಾತೆದಾರರಿಗೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ ? ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I want notice. The question relates to collection of assesment from several Khatedars in 3 villages, it is not possible for me to give all the details.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

Mr. SPEAKER.—There may be hundreds of khatedars. He cannot expect an answer in respect of each khatedar through a supplementary question.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅನ್‌ಸೇಮೆಂಟ್ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುವಾಗ್ಗೆ ಅನ್‌ಸೇಮೆಂಟ್ ಎಷ್ಟು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಬೆರೆಯಿ ಆಸ್ತಿ ಪಾಸ್ತಿ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಮಾರಾಟವಿಂದ ಹಣವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕಂದಾಯ ಹಾ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬರಬೇಕೋ ಅಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—My question is if all the procedure was followed while attaching the property for recovery of assesment.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I have already answered. How many times am I expected to furnish the same answer.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Our information is that.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He cannot cross-examine the Government like this. He is here to get information not to give out information.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—On the basis of the information I received I made an on-the-spot enquiry and we know that atrocities were committed. May I know whether in view of the allegations that we are making, Government would inquire into the matter, that is, institute a thorough enquiry and see whether atrocities were committed by the police on the villagers.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—According to the report available with me, no atrocities were committed.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know as to whether Government have instituted an enquiry into these matters or the allegations made that force was used to recover assesment.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—There is no need for a separate enquiry. Whenever allegations are brought to our notice we get the report from the concerned officer and ask them to hold an enquiry.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—My question is quite different. Whether Government has instituted an enquiry at all or whether they merely relied on the reports without instituting an enquiry.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Government says that there is no need for an enquiry.

Sri B. L. BIRJE.—(Marathi)

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಒಂದು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ನಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಹತ್ತ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬೆರೆಯ ಧನ್ಯ ಜಪಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಅದನ್ನು ತಹಸೀಲ್ದಾರರು ಮಾರಾಟಮಾಡಿ ಬರವಣಿಗೆಯ ಒಂದು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ಜಮಾ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಉಳಿದ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಎತ್ತಿ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿರುವುದೇ? ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Hon'ble Members cannot make a general allegation of this type. If there are specific instances I can find out the truth of the allegations.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—We definitely know that the recovery or the attachment of property was far in excess of the assesment that is to be recovered and under the law, Government is bound to give an account to each Khatedar as to how much amount has been credited in their favour and how much is lying with the Government. Not a single instance is there when such accounts have been furnished to the Khatedars.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—We have not received any complaint from any individual so far. If there are specific complaints brought to my notice, I will certainly inquire into the matter.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—(Marathi)

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is it not open to the Hon'ble Member to raise half an hour discussion?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am going to the next question very shortly. Because these questions are agitated upon in another stage and unnecessarily something is made out against the Government; can give proper replies.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—It is not unnecessary. We are placing facts before the Government.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—This occasion seems to have been made only for levelling charges.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Even levelling charges also is getting a sort of information. ನಿರ್ದೋಷಿ ಗಾಂವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಜಪಿ ಆದಾಗ ಪಂಚನಾಮೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅದರ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ನುಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನಮ್ಮ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ರೂಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವರ್ತಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಪಂಚನಾಮೆವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ ರೂಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ನಾನು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri B. SHAMSUNDER.—When the situation was normal, where was the necessity to send 250 constables in 14 vans?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Where is it said that so many vans were sent?

Sri B. SHAMSUNDER.—Is it not a fact that 250 constables were sent in 14 vans?

Mr. SPEAKER.—A reply has already been given to that.

CONFISCATION OF RIFLES FROM PERSONS IN BELGAUM KHANAPUR AND CHIKODI TALUKS.

* Q.—619. Sri N. O. SAMAJI (Belgaum).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they are aware of the fact that rifles from persons in Belgaum, Khanapur and Chikodi Taluks are confiscated after November 1956 ;

(b) if so, the name of the owner of the rifle and the name of his village ; together with the dates on which the rifle was confiscated and subsequently returned back may be furnished ;

(c) the reason for confiscating the rifles ; and when they intend to return them to the owners ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Home).—

(a) No rifles were confiscated.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know whether the Government are aware that in these three talukas the guns held under license for protection of crops have been taken back by the Police ?

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know the reason as to why the Government taken possession of those guns and for how much time ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಒರಸನ ಬದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲದವರೆಗೆ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವ ಆವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿದೆಯೋ ಅಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಮಾಣದವರೆಗೆ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know under what rules, Government have taken back those guns?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ರೈಸನ್ಸ್ ಕಂಡಿಷನ್‌ಮೇವೆಯೋ ಅದಕ್ಕೊಳಪಟ್ಟು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಯೋಗ ಮಾಡಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—As per the conditions that are incorporated in the license, the gun has got to be produced before a police officer for inspection, not for keeping it in the custody of the police officer when it is held under a license. May I know why the Government are keeping those questions for not only months, but years together ?